

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/582,700	08/21/2000	Jacobus Theodorus Marais	23800	23800 6863	
7	7590 06/05/2003				
Nath & Associates Sixth Floor 1030 15th Street NW			EXAMINER		
			BUMGARNER, MELBA'N		
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	16	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				101		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Office Action Summany		09/582,700	MARAIS, JACOBUS	THEODORUS		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melba Bumgarner	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm	nunication.		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	pril 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. position of Claims					
· _	Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) 3-7.9 and 10 is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
1	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	olootion roquiroment				
	on Papers	election requirement.				
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)∐ ⊓	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🏻	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[2	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ige		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		polication)		
a)	The translation of the foreign language proving the translation of the	visional application has been rece	eived.	productiy.		
Attachment			G., G. G. 12.1.			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)atent Application (PTO-19			
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/582,700

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malchesky. Malchesky discloses an irrigating medium comprising an electro-chemically activated, aqueous saline solution which includes both an aqueous predominantly anion-containing solution and a separate aqueous predominantly cation-containing solution having microcidal as well as dispersing and surfactant properties (column 2 lines 10-18). It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed product is intended to be employed, i.e. "for irrigating root canals", does not differentiate the claimed product from a prior art product satisfying the claimed limitations. The process and intermediate products used in the process by which the medium is made, i.e. "it is electrochemically activated in an electro-chemical reactor . . . ", are not given patentable weight, because a product claim is properly met if the final product is shown regardless of the process used.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-7, 9, and 10 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/582,700

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The prior art shows the claimed limitations of claim 8. The intended use of the product is not given patentable weight and the process by which the product is made is not given patentable weight. See paragraph 2.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 703-305-0740. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-2708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Melba Bumgainer
Melba Bumgarner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700